



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1430
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,456	03/31/2004	Qi Lu	017887-010510US	8402

20350 7590 01/09/2006

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

VEILLARD, JACQUES

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2165

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/816,456

Applicant(s)

LU, QI

Examiner

Jacques Veillard

Art Unit

2165

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 4-12 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the applicant's amendment filed on 10/24/2005.
2. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 10 have been amended.
3. Claims 1-12 are pending and presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "potentially" recites on line 4 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "potentially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear whether the content references and context-specific page features need to be related to the advertisements or not as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DaCosta et al. (U. S. pat. No. 6,665,658).

As per claim 1, DaCosta et al. disclose “a system for a web crawler to automatically simulate user interaction with a dynamic website in order to gather and extract information from the site (See DaCosta et al. Title, Abstract, col.1, lines 11-17, col.2, lines 48-50). In particular, DaCosta et al. disclose the claimed limitations of receiving a request for specific host content, by providing a requester 20 at Fig.1, permitting of receiving template query or query string (See DaCosta et al. col.7, lines 26-29); obtaining the host content from the site database 10 using the search result manager 22 at Fig.1 (See DaCosta et al. col.7, lines 36-49); formulating a search query based on the host content by using the query template builder 16 of Fig.1 (See DaCosta et al. col. 4, lines 5-11; col.6, lines 61-67; col.8, lines 32-39, and line 63 through col.9, line 4); applying the search query to a search engine to search guest content (See DaCosta et al. 50-67); and including at least a reference to at least some of the search query results with the host content returned in response to the request (See DaCosta et al. col.7, lines 2-24; col.8, lines 13-23).

As per claim 2, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1. Applicant’s attention is directed to the rejection of claim 1 above. In addition, DaCosta

et al. disclose the claimed limitations wherein a host content summary is used as the search query, using a summarizer component (See DaCosta et al. col.8, lines 6-12; col.9, lines 8-13).

As per claim 3, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1. Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 1 above. In addition, DaCosta et al. disclose the claimed limitations wherein the host content is requested from a user system via an HTTP request including a URL referencing the host content, wherein the search query is a string of one or more keywords to which the host content relates, and wherein the guest query comprises one or more of advertisements, potentially related content references and context-specific page features"(See DaCosta et al. col.4, lines 5-67; col.6, lines 22-61; col.8, lines 26-46).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4-12 are allowed over the prior of record.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Upon searching a variety of databases, the examiner respectfully submits -- associating at least some of the host content with terms in a host content dictionary; associating at least some of the host content with nodes of a host content taxonomy, wherein the host content taxonomy is a hierarchy of topics; distilling the host content to derive host content summary data representing a summarization of the host content; storing the host content summary data in a first indexable structure; and storing guest content in en a second indexable structure, the second indexable structure configured such that a search query derived from the host content summary data for the host content requested can be applied as a search against the second indexable structure to

Art Unit: 2165

retrieve guest content related to the host content requested without requiring that each host content object have a preassociated link with guest content to be provided in response to the request for host content—as recited in independent claims 4 and 10, in context with the other limitations of the claims was not taught, would not have been obvious over, nor would have been fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Other Prior Art Made Of Record

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at <http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html> or 1-866-217-9197.


Points of Contact

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques Veillard whose telephone number is (571) 272-4086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. from 9 AM to 4:30 PM, alt. Fri. off..

Art Unit: 2165

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272- 4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Handwritten signature of Jacques Veillard, Patent Examiner AU 2165. Below the signature is a faint, partially legible stamp that appears to say "OFFICE OF THE EXAMINER".

J.V
Jacques Veillard
Patent Examiner AU 2165

January 3, 2006